arXiv:1502.05152v2 [math.DG] 25 Jul 2015

Uniqueness in an Integral Geometry Problem and an Inverse Problem for the Kinetic Equation

Arif Amirov*, Fikret Gölgeleyen* and Masahiro Yamamoto†
* *Department of Mathematics, Blent Ecevit University, Zonguldak 67100 Turkey*
† *Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914 Japan*
E-mail: f.golgeleyen@beun.edu.tr, myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we discuss the uniqueness in an integral geometry problem in a strongly convex domain. Our problem is related to the problem of finding a Riemannian metric by the distances between all pairs of the boundary points. For the proof, the problem is reduced to an inverse source problem for a kinetic equation on a Riemannian manifold and then the uniqueness theorem is proved in semi-geodesic coordinates by using the tools of Fourier analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ be a simply connected, closed and bounded domain with boundary ∂D of class C^5. We assume that the domain D is strongly convex with respect to a metric $g \in C^6(D)$, which means that for any $x, y \in D$ there exists a unique geodesic $\Gamma(x, y)$ of metric g which connects x, $y \in D$ and lies in D (e.g., [10]).

Henceforth we use the following notations:

$\dot{\xi} = \frac{d}{dt}\xi$, $\xi' = (\xi^2, ..., \xi^n)$ for $\xi = (\xi^1, ..., \xi^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Gamma(x, y) = \xi(x, y, t) = \left\{\xi^1(x, y, t), ..., \xi^n(x, y, t)\right\}$ is a coordinate representation of the geodesic $\Gamma(x, y)$. Here t is the natural parameter (see [11], [28]), that is, $t = Al + B$, where l is the length of the geodesic (in the metric g) traced out from some point, A and B are some constants.

We consider the following integral geometry problem:

Throughout this paper, we assume that $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, $2 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Problem 1 *Determine the functions* a_{ij} *in* D $(2 \leq i, j \leq n)$ *from the integrals*

$$\int_{\Gamma(x,y)} \left(\sum_{i,j=2}^{n} a_{ij}(\xi(x,y,t)) \dot{\xi}^{i}(x,y,t) \dot{\xi}^{j}(x,y,t) \right) dt, \tag{1.1}$$

which are known for each pair of the points $(x, y) \in \partial D \times \partial D$.

In this paper, we investigate the uniqueness of solution of Problem 1. Our method for proving the main result, which is stated in Section 2, relies on the reduction of Problem 1 to some kinetic equation (see (3.5) below) on a Riemannian manifold where the metric is considered in a semi-geodesic system of coordinates.

Here we note that when we replace $i, j = 2$ in the summation by $i, j = 1$ in (1.1), we do not know the uniqueness in determining a_{ij}, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The choice of the indices ”$i, j \neq 1$” depends on the semi-geodesic coordinates which we use in this paper. For detailed explanations, see also Remark 1 in Section 4.

Problem 1 is related to an inverse problem of determining the Riemannian metric by the distances between boundary points, see Chapter 1 of [30]; also [2], [3]. Such an inverse problem is the mathematical model of several important medical imaging techniques and geophysical problems, and has called wide attention. As for the uniqueness theorem and stability estimates, see Muhometov [19] in two dimensions, and a recent work Pestov and Uhlmann [25]. For higher dimensions, we refer to Bernstein and Gerver [6], Beylkin [7], Muhometov and Romanov [20]. Also see e.g., [21], [22]. As for other kinds of inverse problems from the integral geometry, we refer to [5], [8], [14], [23], [24], [30] - [35]. Here we do not intend any complete lists of references. The connections between these problems and the inverse problems for parabolic, hyperbolic and kinetic equations are described in the works [1], [4], [16], [17], [18], [29].

2. MAIN RESULT

Throughout this paper, we set

$$\mathbb{R}^n_0 := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\,;\ \mathbb{R}^{n-1}_0 := \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}\,,$$

$$C^5_0(D) = \{a \in C^5(D) |\, \operatorname{supp} a \subset D\}.$$

We note that D is closed and supp a is not necessarily a proper subset of D.

The result which we have obtained for Problem 1 is given by Theorem 1:

Theorem 1 *Let* $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, $2 \le i, j \le n$, and *the domain* D *be strongly convex with respect to the metric* $g \in C^6(D)$. *Then Problem 1 may have at most one solution* a_{ij} such that $a_{ij} \in C^5_0(D)$, where $2 \le i, j \le n$.

It is worth noting here that, in the proof, we assume that the metric has the property $g_{11} = 1$, $g_{1i} = 0$, $2 \le i \le n$, which is related to the semi-geodesic coordinates and extensively used in the theory of relativity (see, e.g., [26] and the references therein). We more precisely explain as follows: It is known that if there exists a point $x^0 \in D$ such that any point $x \in D$ can be joined with x^0 by a unique geodesic of the metric g, then the metric g has a semi-geodesic coordinates in D (see [28], p. 448). Hence, for any domain D which is strongly convex with respect to the metric g, one can at least locally introduce a semi-geodesic system of coordinates at any $x \in D$ with respect to g. Moreover, in the semi-geodesic system of coordinates x^i, we have $g_{11} = 1$, $g_{1i} = 0$, $2 \le i \le n$, locally. Conversely, these conditions are sufficient for the system with coordinates x^i to be semi-geodesic for the metric g in D (see [28], p. 450 and [36], p. 76).

This paper consists of six sections and one appendix. The rest part of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we reduce Problem 1 to an inverse source problem for a kinetic equation on a Riemannian manifold. In Section 4, we present three lemmata and apply the generalized Fourier transform to the kinetic equation. In Section 5, we reformulate our problem by introducing Riemannian coordinates and prove some auxiliary results. Finally, Section 6 is devoted to the proof of the main result: Theorem 1. The proofs of the lemmata which are presented in Section 4 are given in Appendix.

3. REDUCTION OF PROBLEM 1 TO AN INVERSE PROBLEM

Let us introduce the function

$$u(x,\xi)=\sum_{i,j=2}^{n}\int_{\gamma(x,\xi)} a_{ij}\left(z\left(x,\xi,t\right)\right)\dot{z}^{i}\left(x,\xi,t\right)\dot{z}^{j}\left(x,\xi,t\right)dt, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\gamma\left(x,\xi\right)$ is the ray of the metric $g=\left(g_{ij}\right)$ starting from $x\in D$ in direction $\xi\in\mathbb{R}_0^n$ and $a_{ij}\in C_0^5(D)$.

It is known (see [9], [11], [28]) that $\gamma\left(x,\xi\right)=\left(z^1\left(x,\xi,t\right),...,z^n\left(x,\xi,t\right)\right)$ is the solution of the following system of differential equations

$$\frac{d^2z^i}{dt^2}=-\Gamma_{jk}^i(z)\dot{z}^j\dot{z}^k,\ 1\leq i\leq n, \tag{3.2}$$

with the Cauchy data

$$z(0)=x,\ \dot{z}(0)=\xi, \tag{3.3}$$

where Γ_{jk}^i are the Christoffel symbols of the metric g and

$$\begin{aligned} z\left(x,\xi,t\right) &= \left(z^1\left(x,\xi,t\right),...,z^n\left(x,\xi,t\right)\right), \\ \dot{z}\left(x,\xi,t\right) &= \left(\dot{z}^1\left(x,\xi,t\right),...,\dot{z}^n\left(x,\xi,t\right)\right),\ \dot{z}^i=\frac{d}{dt}z^i. \end{aligned}$$

We can prove that the solution of Problem (3.2)-(3.3) has the following property:

$$z\left(x,\xi,t\right)=z\left(x,\nu,\left|\xi\right|t\right);\ \dot{z}\left(x,\xi,t\right)=\left|\xi\right|\dot{z}\left(x,\nu,\left|\xi\right|t\right), \tag{3.4}$$

where $\nu=\xi/\left|\xi\right|$ and $\left|\xi\right|^2=\sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{n}g_{ij}\xi^i\xi^j$ (e.g., Lemma 2.6 on p.64 in do Carmo [9]).

Let G' denote a closed, bounded set of variables $\xi'=(\xi^2,...,\xi^n)$ such that $0\notin G'$ and let $G=\left\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid\xi=(\xi^1,\xi'),\ \xi^1\in\mathbb{R}^1,\ \xi'\in G'\right\}$, $\Omega=\left\{(x,\xi)|x\in D,\ \xi\in G\right\}$.

Differentiating both sides of (3.1) at the point x in the direction ξ and by using

(3.2) and (3.3), we have the following kinetic equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\xi^{j}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{j}}-\sum_{j,k,s=1}^{n}\Gamma^{s}_{jk}(x)\xi^{k}\xi^{j}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi^{s}}=\sum_{j,k=2}^{n}a_{jk}(x)\xi^{k}\xi^{j}. \tag{3.5}$$

By the setting of Problem 1, using equalities (3.1), (3.4) and the fact that $a_{jk}(x)$ is zero outside of D, we conclude that the function $u(x,\xi)$ is known for $(x,\xi)\in\partial D\times\mathbb{R}^{n}_{0}$. Then it is easy to see that the uniqueness of the solution of Problem 1 follows from the uniqueness of the solution of the following problem:

Problem 2 *Determine a matrix-valued function* (a_{jk}), $(2\leq j,k\leq n)$ *from equation (3.5) provided that* $u(x,\xi)$ *is known for* $(x,\xi)\in\partial D\times\mathbb{R}^{n}_{0}$.

In order to prove the uniqueness for Problem 2, it is sufficient to assume

$$u(x,\xi)=0,\ (x,\xi)\in\partial D\times\mathbb{R}^{n}_{0}. \tag{3.6}$$

4. APPLICATION OF THE FOURIER TRANSFORM TO PROBLEM 2

In this section, we present three lemmata which describe some important properties of the function $u(x,\xi)$ and its Fourier transform. The proofs are given in Appendix. The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(I)$ of a function $I(x,\xi)\in L_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}_{\xi^{1}}\right)$ with respect to the variable ξ^{1} is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}\left\{I(x,\xi)\right\}=\widehat{I}\left(x,\eta,\xi'\right):=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}I\left(x,\xi^{1},\xi'\right)e^{-\sqrt{-1}\xi^{1}\eta}d\xi^{1},$$

where η is the dual variable of ξ^{1}. Henceforth we set

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{x^{s}}&=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{s}},\ \partial_{\eta}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta},\ \partial_{\xi^{j}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi^{j}},\\ \partial^{\beta}_{\xi}&=\partial^{\beta_{1}}_{\xi^{1}}\cdots\partial^{\beta_{n}}_{\xi^{n}},\ |\beta|=\beta_{1}+...+\beta_{n},\\ \partial^{\beta'}_{\xi'}&=\partial^{\beta_{2}}_{\xi^{2}}\cdots\partial^{\beta_{n}}_{\xi^{n}},\ |\beta'|=\beta_{2}+...+\beta_{n}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we introduce the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\eta^\rho \quad &: \quad = \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}_\eta^1 \middle| \ \rho\eta > 0 \right\}, \\ \overline{\Delta}_\eta^\rho \quad &: \quad = \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}_\eta^1 \middle| \ \rho\eta \geq 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $\rho = -1, 1$.

Let us introduce the auxiliary functions

$$I_{ij}(x,\xi) = \int_{\gamma(x,\xi)} b\left(z\left(x,\xi,t\right)\right) \dot{z}^i\left(x,\xi,t\right) \dot{z}^j\left(x,\xi,t\right) dt, \ 2 \leq i,j \leq n, \tag{4.1}$$

where the function $b \in C^5(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is zero outside of D. Note that the function $u(x,\xi)$ in (3.1) is defined as the sum of the functions of the form I_{ij}. By taking into account (3.4), let us rewrite (4.1) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ij}(x,\xi) &= \int_0^\infty b\left(z\left(x,\xi,t\right)\right) \dot{z}^i\left(x,\xi,t\right) \dot{z}^j\left(x,\xi,t\right) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty b\left(z\left(x,\nu,|\xi|\,t\right)\right) |\xi|\, \dot{z}^i\left(x,\nu,|\xi|\,t\right) |\xi|\, \dot{z}^j\left(x,\nu,|\xi|\,t\right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{|\xi|} \int_0^\infty b\left(z\left(x,\nu,\tau\right)\right) |\xi|\, \dot{z}^i\left(x,\nu,\tau\right) |\xi|\, \dot{z}^j\left(x,\nu,\tau\right) d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Lemma 1 *Let D be strongly convex with respect to the metric $g = (g_{ij}) \in C^6(D)$. Then the functions I_{ij}* satisfy the following properties:

(i) $\partial_\xi^\beta I_{ij},\ \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_{x^s} I_{ij} \in C(\Omega)$ *for* $0 \leq |\beta| \leq 4$,

(ii) *For fixed* $x \in D$ *and* $\xi' \in G'$ $\left(\xi' \neq 0\right)$,

(a) $\partial_\xi^\beta I_{ij},\ \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_{x^s} I_{ij} \in L_2\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi^1}^1\right)$ *for* $|\beta| \leq 2$,

(b) $\partial_\xi^\beta I_{ij},\ \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_{x^s} I_{ij} \in L_1\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi^1}^1\right) \cap L_2\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi^1}^1\right)$ *for* $|\beta| = 3$,

(c) $\xi^1 \partial_\xi^\beta I_{ij},\ \xi^1 \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_{x^s} I_{ij} \in L_1\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi^1}^1\right) \cap L_2\left(\mathbb{R}_{\xi^1}^1\right)$ *for* $|\beta| = 4$,

where $1 \leq s \leq n$ and $2 \leq i,j \leq n$.

Remark 1. It is worth to note that Lemma 1 is not valid if at least one of the indices i or j in (4.2) is equal to 1.

Indeed we note:

(i) Since $\dot{z}^1(x,\nu,t) \to \dot{z}^1(x,\pm\nu^0,t) = \pm 1$ as $\xi^1 \to \pm\infty$, the function $\left|\xi^1 \dot{z}^1(x,\nu,t)\right|$

increases like $\left|\xi^1\right|$ as $\xi^1 \to \infty$,

(ii) Integral (4.2) is taken on a finite interval $[0, d_0]$, where d_0 is the diameter of D in the metric $g = (g_{ij}(x))$,

(iii) $\left| \ |\xi| \ \dot{z}^k (x, \nu, t)\right| \leq K_1$, (See the proof of Lemma 1 in Appendix).

Then it follows from (4.2) that if only one of the indices i, j is equal to 1, then $I_{ij}(x,\xi)$ is bounded only on the set Ω, whereas the function $|I_{11}(x,\xi)|$ increases like $\left|\xi^1\right|$ as $\xi^1 \to \infty$ for each fixed $(x, \xi') \in D \times G'$.

Lemma 2 *Let the conditions of Lemma 1 be satisfied. Then we have*

(i) $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} \widehat{I}_{ij}$, $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} \partial_{x^s} \widehat{I}_{ij} \in C\left(D \times \Delta_\eta^\rho \times G'\right) \cap L_2\left(\mathbb{R}_\eta^1\right)$ *for* $\left|\beta'\right| \leq 2$,

(ii) $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} \widehat{I}_{ij}$, $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} \partial_{x^s} \widehat{I}_{ij} \in C\left(D \times \mathbb{R}_\eta^1 \times G'\right) \cap L_2\left(\mathbb{R}_\eta^1\right)$ *for* $\left|\beta'\right| = 3$,

(iii) $\eta^r \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} \partial_\eta \widehat{I}_{ij}$, $\eta^r \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} \partial_\eta \partial_{x^s} \widehat{I}_{ij} \in C\left(D \times \mathbb{R}_\eta^1 \times G'\right) \cap L_2\left(\mathbb{R}_\eta^1\right)$ *for* $r + \left|\beta'\right| = 4$, $0 \leq r \leq 4$,

where $1 \leq s \leq n$ and $2 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Remark 2. Since the function $u(x,\xi)$ is defined as the sum of the functions of the form I_{ij}, Lemmata 1 and 2 are also valid for $u(x,\xi)$. On the other hand, due to the reason mentioned in Remark 1, if the right-hand side of equality (3.1) is replaced by the expression

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_{\gamma(x,\xi)} a_{ij}\left(z\left(x,\xi,t\right)\right) \dot{z}^i \left(x,\xi,t\right) \dot{z}^j \left(x,\xi,t\right) dt,$$

then these lemmata are not valid.

Hence, for each fixed $x \in D$ and $\xi' \in G'$, it is possible to apply the generalized Fourier transform with respect to variable ξ^1 to equation (3.5). Then we have

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial_\eta \partial_{x^1} \widehat{u} - 2\sqrt{-1} \sum_{j,k=2}^{n} \Gamma_{1k}^j \xi^k \partial_\eta \partial_{\xi^j} \widehat{u} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \xi^j \partial_{x^j} \widehat{u}$$
$$- \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j,k=2}^{n} \Gamma_{jk}^1 \xi^k \xi^j \eta \widehat{u} - \sum_{j,k,s=2}^{n} \Gamma_{jk}^s \xi^k \xi^j \partial_{\xi^s} \widehat{u} = 2\pi\delta\left(\eta\right) \sum_{k,j=2}^{n} a_{kj}\left(x\right) \xi^k \xi^j, \quad (4.3)$$

where we use the fact that $\Gamma_{1k}^1 = \Gamma_{11}^k = 0$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. In (4.3), we recall that $\delta\left(\eta\right)$ is the Dirac delta function and $\mathcal{F}(1) = 2\pi\delta\left(\eta\right)$.

By Remark 2 and taking into account Lemma 2, we see that the functions $\widehat{u}$, $\partial_\eta \widehat{u}$ are continuously differentiable in the region $D \times G'$ for both cases $\eta > 0$ and $\eta < 0$. Then using (4.3), we obtain that for both cases $\eta > 0$ and $\eta < 0$ the function $\widehat{u}$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{-1}\partial_\eta \partial_{x^1}\widehat{u} - 2\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j,k=2}^{n}\Gamma^j_{1k}\xi^k\partial_\eta\partial_{\xi^j}\widehat{u} + \sum_{j=2}^{n}\xi^j\partial_{x^j}\widehat{u}\\ &-\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j,k=2}^{n}\Gamma^1_{jk}\xi^k\xi^j\eta\widehat{u} - \sum_{j,k,s=2}^{n}\Gamma^s_{jk}\xi^k\xi^j\partial_{\xi^s}\widehat{u} = 0 \qquad (4.4)\end{aligned}$$

in the classical sense.

Putting $\widehat{u} = p + \sqrt{-1}q$ and separating the real and the imaginary parts of the left-hand side of equation (4.4) for both $\eta > 0$ and $\eta < 0$, we have the following equations with respect to the functions $\partial_\eta p$ and $\partial_\eta q$ respectively:

$$\partial_\eta\partial_{x^1}p - 2\sum_{j,k=2}^{n}\Gamma^j_{1k}\xi^k\partial_\eta\partial_{\xi^j}p = \mathcal{F}_1, \qquad (4.5)$$

$$\partial_\eta\partial_{x^1}q - 2\sum_{j,k=2}^{n}\Gamma^j_{1k}\xi^k\partial_\eta\partial_{\xi^j}q = \mathcal{F}_2, \qquad (4.6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \sum_{j,k=2}^{n}\Gamma^1_{jk}\xi^k\xi^j\eta p - \sum_{j=2}^{n}\xi^j\partial_{x^j}q + \sum_{s,j,k=2}^{n}\Gamma^s_{jk}\xi^k\xi^j\partial_{\xi^s}q,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \sum_{j,k=2}^{n}\Gamma^1_{jk}\xi^k\xi^j\eta q + \sum_{j=2}^{n}\xi^j\partial_{x^j}p - \sum_{s,j,k=2}^{n}\Gamma^s_{jk}\xi^k\xi^j\partial_{\xi^s}p.$$

In this work, the uniqueness of the solution of the problem is investigated under the assumption of the existence of the solution. Hence it is assumed that there exists a solution $u(x,\xi)$ to equation (3.5), i.e., there exists a solution $\widehat{u} = p + \sqrt{-1}q$ to equation (4.3) which satisfies the properties indicated in Remark 2 and the condition

$$u\left(x,\xi\right) = 0,\ (x,\xi) \in \partial D \times G,\ \left(\widehat{u}(x,\eta,\xi') = 0,\ (x,\xi') \in \partial D \times G'\right). \qquad (4.7)$$

Lemma 3. *Let the conditions of Lemma 1 and condition (3.6) be satisfied.*

Then

$$\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{\eta}\widehat{u}(x,\cdot,\xi'),\ \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^s}\partial_{\eta}\widehat{u}(x,\cdot,\xi') \in L_1\left(\Delta_{\eta}^{\rho}\right)\cap L_2\left(\Delta_{\eta}^{\rho}\right)$$

for fixed $(x,\xi') \in D\times G'$ and

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{\eta}\widehat{u},\ \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^s}\partial_{\eta}\widehat{u} & \in & C\left(D\times\Delta_{\eta}^{\rho}\times G'\right),\\ \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\widehat{u},\ \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^s}\widehat{u} & \in & C\left(D\times\overline{\Delta}_{\eta}^{\rho}\times G'\right),\end{array}$$

for $0\leq\left|\beta'\right|\leq 2$ *and* $1\leq s\leq n$.

Remark 3. In the proof of Lemma 3, we essentially use condition (4.7).

5. SOME AUXILIARY RESULTS IN RIEMANNIAN COORDINATES

We set $D_{\varepsilon}=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\ /\ d(x,D)<\varepsilon\}$ where $d(x,D)=\inf_{y\in D}|x-y|$. For some $\varepsilon>0$, let D_{ε} be strongly convex with respect to the metric $g=\left(g_{ij}\right)\in C^6(\overline{D_{\varepsilon}})$. We assume that the metric $g=\left(g_{ij}(x)\right)$ is Euclidean outside of the region D_{ε}. Then as in [28] (see p. 506) it is possible to construct the Riemannian coordinates with center $\widetilde{x}_0\in D$ by the formula $y^i=\xi^i t$ $(1\leq i\leq n)$, where t is the same parameter as in system (3.2). Let us also note that the coordinate y^i does not depend on the selection of the natural parameter t on this geodesic (see [28], p. 506 and [12], p. 53). It is clear that we have $y^i=0$ $(1\leq i\leq n)$ at the point $\widetilde{x}_0$. Since $g=(g_{ij})\in C^6(\overline{D}_{\varepsilon})$, the solution $z(x_0,\xi,t)$ of Problem (3.2)-(3.3) is of C^5-class with respect to t and ξ. Then from the determination of the Riemannian coordinates given above, it follows that $y^i=y^i(x^1,...,x^n)\in C^5(\overline{D}_{\varepsilon})$ $(1\leq i\leq n)$. Moreover the x^1-axis is the same as the y^1-axis, because the straight line passing from $\widetilde{x}_0$ and parallel to the x^1-axis is a geodesic of the metric g which corresponds to the vector $(1,0,...,0)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ on which x^1 is length of the geodesic. Then in the new coordinates the differential form $\sum\limits_{i,j=2}^{n}a_{ij}(x)\dot{\xi}^{i}\dot{\xi}^{j}$ takes the form $\sum\limits_{k,s=2}^{n}\widetilde{a}_{ks}(y)\dot{\zeta}^{k}\dot{\zeta}^{s}$ where $\widetilde{a}_{ks}=a_{ij}\partial_{y^k}x^i\partial_{y^s}x^j$ and $a_{ij}=a_{ij}\left(x\left(y\right)\right)$.

In this case, we introduce the function

$$\widetilde{u}\left(y,\zeta\right)=\sum_{i,j=2}^{n}\int_{\widetilde{\gamma}(y,\zeta)}\widetilde{a}_{ij}\left(\tilde{z}\left(y,\zeta,t\right)\right)\dot{\tilde{z}}^{i}\left(y,\zeta,t\right)\dot{\tilde{z}}^{j}\left(y,\zeta,t\right)dt, \tag{5.1}$$

analoguos to formula (3.1), where $\widetilde{\gamma}(y,\zeta)=\left\{\tilde{z}^{1}\left(y,\zeta,t\right),...,\tilde{z}^{n}\left(y,\zeta,t\right)\right\}$ is a geodesic of the metric g in the new coordinates passing from the point $y\in\widetilde{D}$ in direction ζ. It can be easily proved that Lemmata 1-3 are also valid for the function $\widetilde{u}\left(y,\zeta\right)$ by using the similar arguments which we used in the proofs of Lemmata 1-3 for the function $u(x,\xi)$. Then, by $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^{i}=0$ at $y=0$, the function $\widetilde{u}\left(y,\zeta\right)$ satisfies the following analogue of equation (3.5):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\zeta^{i}\partial_{y^{i}}\widetilde{u}=\sum_{s,k=2}^{n}\widetilde{a}_{ks}(y)\zeta^{k}\zeta^{s}, \tag{5.2}$$

at $y=0$ for $\zeta\in\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^{i}$ are the Christoffel symbols of the metric g in the new coordinates y. We note that we will use the symbols (x,ξ), $u(x,\xi)$, $\gamma(x,\xi)$, $a_{ks}(x)$ instead of (y,ζ), $\widetilde{u}\left(y,\zeta\right)$, $\widetilde{\gamma}(y,\zeta)$, $\widetilde{a}_{ks}(y)$ in the corresponding places of this paper. Since Lemmata 1-3 for the function $u(x,\xi)$ are also valid for the function $\widetilde{u}(y,\zeta)$, without fear of confusion, we can change the symbols indicated above.

On the other hand, it is known that the equation of the geodesic in the Euclidean metric has the form: $z\left(x_{0},\xi,t\right)=x_{0}+\xi t$, $(\xi\neq 0)$ and we readily see that the second derivatives of the function $\dot{z}\left(x_{0},\xi,t\right)=\frac{d}{dt}z\left(x_{0},\xi,t\right)$ with respect to the parameter ξ^{s}, $(1\leq s\leq n)$ are bounded for $|\xi|\leq 1/2$ and $t\in(0,+\infty)$. At this point, it is worth to note that if the equation of the geodesic is rewritten in the form $z\left(x_{0},\nu,t\right)=x_{0}+\nu t$, where $\nu=\xi/\left|\xi\right|$, then the second order derivatives of the function $\dot{z}\left(x_{0},\nu,t\right)=\xi/\left|\xi\right|$ with respect to the parameter ξ^{s} are unbounded for $|\xi|\leq 1/2$ and $t\in(0,+\infty)$. This unboundedness is connected with the introduction of the new parameter $\nu=\xi/\left|\xi\right|$ and the unboundedness of the second derivatives of the function $|\xi|$ for $|\xi|\leq 1/2$. However in below, when we investigate the boundedness of the derivatives with respect to the parameter ξ^{s} of the funcions $\dot{z}\left(x_{0},\xi,t\right)$, $\partial_{\xi^{i}}\partial_{\xi^{1}}u$, $\partial_{x^{j}}\partial_{\xi^{i}}\partial_{\xi^{1}}u$ in a neighbourhood of $\xi=0, 1\leq i\leq n$, we need not pass to the parameter $\nu = \xi / |\xi|$ and work only with the parameter ξ.

Lemma 4. *In the new coordinate system, the functions*

$$\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}u(0,\xi),\ \partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}\partial_{x^j}u(0,\xi),\ 1 \le i,\ j \le n,$$

are bounded on the set G for $|\xi| \le 1/2$. Moreover, the functions

$$u(0,\xi^1,\xi'),\ \partial_{\xi^i}u(0,\xi^1,\xi'),\ \partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^j}u(0,\xi^1,\xi'),\ \partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}u(0,\xi^1,\xi'),$$
$$\partial_{x^j}\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}u(0,\xi^1,\xi'), 1 \le i,j \le n,$$

tend to zero in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^1_{\xi^1})$ as $\xi' \to 0$.

Proof.

First, let us show that if up to the second order derivatives of the functions $\dot{z}^i(x,\xi,t)$ with respect to ξ are bounded, then it is possible to prove that the functions $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}u$ are bounded for $0 < |\xi| \le 1/2$ and $1 \le i \le n$.

Since supp $b \subset D$ and the solution of Problem (3.2)-(3.3) has property (3.4), the integral in (4.2) can be considered on the finite interval $\left[0, \frac{d_0}{|\xi|}\right]$, where d_0 is the diameter of D in the metric $g = (g_{ij}(x))$. Hence we can write (4.2) as

$$I_{ij}(x,\xi) = \int_0^{\frac{d_0}{|\xi|}} b\left(z\left(x,\xi,t\right)\right)\dot{z}^i\left(x,\xi,t\right)\dot{z}^j\left(x,\xi,t\right)dt. \tag{5.3}$$

Moreover, since the metric $g = (g_{ij})$ is written down in the semi-geodesic coordinates, the equality $\dot{z}^k\left(x,\xi^1,0,t\right) = 0$ $(\xi^1 \ne 0)$ is satisfied for each k $(2 \le k \le n)$ (see the proof of Lemma 1 in Appendix) and thus $\partial_{\xi^1}z^k\left(x,\xi^1,0,t\right) = 0$. Then, for each fixed $x \in D$ and $0 < |\xi^1| \le 1$, by Taylor's formula with respect to the variable $\xi' = (\xi^2,...,\xi^n)$ (e.g., [13], p. 285), we obtain the equalities

$$\dot{z}^k\left(x,\xi,t\right) = \sum_{i=2}^{n}\xi^i\partial_{\xi^i}\dot{z}^k\left(x,\xi^1,\xi'\theta_1,t\right), \tag{5.4}$$

$$\partial_{\xi^1}\dot{z}^k\left(x,\xi,t\right) = \sum_{i=2}^{n}\xi^i\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}\dot{z}^k\left(x,\xi^1,\xi'\theta_2,t\right), \tag{5.5}$$

where $0 < \theta_m(x,\xi,t) < 1$, $m = 1,2$. If we assume the boundedness of the functions $\partial_{\xi^i} \dot{z}^k$ and $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^j} \dot{z}^k$, then it follows from (5.4) and (5.5) that

$$\left|\dot{z}^k(x,\xi,t)\right| \leq M_1 \left|\xi'\right|, \quad \left|\partial_{\xi^1} \dot{z}^k(x,\xi,t)\right| \leq M_2 \left|\xi'\right| \tag{5.6}$$

for each $k \in \{2,...,n\}$, $x \in D$, $t \in (0,+\infty)$ and for $|\xi| \leq 1/2$ ($\xi^1 \neq 0$), where M_1 and $M_2 \geq 0$ do not depend on ξ and t. Furthermore we assume the boundedness of the functions $\partial_{\xi^i} z^s$, $\partial_{\xi^j}\partial_{\xi^i} z^s$ $(1 \leq s \leq n)$ and note that

(i) $b \in C^5(D)$, supp $b \subset D$,

(ii) Inequalities (5.6) hold,

(iii) $z(x,\xi,t)$ is of C^5-class with respect to t and $\xi \neq 0$,

(iv) Boundedness of the derivatives up to order 2 of the functions $\dot{z}^k(x,\xi,t)$, $z^s(x,\xi,t)$ $(2 \leq k \leq n,\ 1 \leq s \leq n)$ with respect to ξ^i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ for $|\xi| \leq 1/2$ with $\xi^1 \neq 0$,

(v) $\partial_{\xi^1} z^k\left(x,\xi^1,0,t\right) = 0$, $2 \leq k \leq n$.

Then by (5.3) we see that the functions $\partial_{\xi^s}\partial_{\xi^1} I_{ij}$ are bounded for $|\xi| \leq 1/2$, $1 \leq s \leq n$, $2 \leq i,j \leq n$. On the other hand, the boundedness of the functions for $|\xi| \geq 1/2$ ($\xi' \in G'$) follows from Lemma 1.

If we assume the boundedness of the functions $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^j} \dot{z}^k$, $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^s}\partial_{x^j} \dot{z}^k$, $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^j} z^s$, $\partial_{\xi^l}\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^j} z^s$ $(2 \leq k \leq n,\ 1 \leq i,\ j,\ s,\ l \leq n)$, then we can similarly prove the boundedness of the functions $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}\partial_{x^j} I_{ij}$ for $\xi \in G$ $(1 \leq i,j \leq n)$. As a result, since $u(x,\xi)$ is a finite sum of the functions of the form $I_{ij}(x,\xi)$, the functions $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1} u$, $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}\partial_{x^j} u$ $(1 \leq i,j \leq n)$ are bounded on the set G for $x \in D$ and $|\xi| \leq 1/2$ with $\xi^1 \neq 0$, when the conditions for the functions z^s, $\dot{z}^k$ indicated above are satisfied.

In particular, since the derivatives of the functions $\dot{z}^k(x,\xi,t)$ with respect to ξ up to order 2 are bounded for the metric $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, it is clear that the same boundedness properties are valid for $\dot{z}^k(0,\xi,t)$ in the Riemannian coordinates with center $\widetilde{x}_0 \in D$. Moreover, by the formula $y^i = \xi^i t$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ which is satisfied in the new coordinate system, we have $z^s(0,\xi,t) = z^s(0,\xi,0)$ $(1 \leq s \leq n)$. Therefore, it is easy to see that the functions $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^j}z^s(0,\xi,t)$, $\partial_{\xi^l}\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^j}z^s(0,\xi,t)$, $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^j}\dot{z}^k(0,\xi,t)$, $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^s}\partial_{x^j}\dot{z}^k(0,\xi,t)$ $(2 \le k \le n,\ 1 \le i,j,s,l \le n)$ are bounded on the set G for $|\xi| \le 1/2$. This implies that the functions $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}u(0,\xi)$, $\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}\partial_{x^j}u(0,\xi)$ $(1 \le i,j \le n)$ are bounded on the set G for $|\xi| \le 1/2$.

Now, let us prove the second assertion of the lemma. Since the unique solution to Problem (3.2)-(3.3) for $\nu^0 = (1,0,...,0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by $z\left(x,\nu^0,t\right) = x + t\nu^0$, we obtain that $\dot{z}^k\left(x,\xi^1,0,t\right) = 0$ for $\xi' = 0$, $2 \le k \le n$. Then by (3.1), we have $u(x,\xi^1,0) = \partial_{\xi^i}u(x,\xi^1,0) = 0$ $(1 \le i \le n)$ and $\partial_{\xi^1}\partial_{\xi^i}u(x,\xi^1,0) = \partial_{\xi^1}\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^j}u(x,\xi^1,0) = 0$ $(1 \le i,j \le n)$. Hence, taking into account the last equalities, the first conclusion of the lemma which we proved above and the analogous formula to (4.2), we see that the functions

$$u(0,\xi^1,\xi'),\ \partial_{\xi^i}u(0,\xi^1,\xi'),\ \partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^j}u(0,\xi^1,\xi'),\ \partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}u(0,\xi^1,\xi'),\ \partial_{x^j}\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}u(0,\xi^1,\xi')$$

tend to zero in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^1_{\xi^1})$ as $\xi' \to 0$.

Lemma 5. *Let the conditions of Lemmata 1 and 3 be satisfied. We set* $\widehat{u}(0,\eta,\xi') = p(0,\eta,\xi') + \sqrt{-1}q(0,\eta,\xi')$. *Then the functions* $p(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\partial_{x^j}p(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\partial_{\xi^k}p(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\partial_{x^j}\partial_{\xi^k}p(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\eta q(0,\eta,\xi')$ *tend to zero as* $\xi' \to 0$ *in* $L_1(\mathbb{R}^1_\eta)$ *for* $2 \le k \le n$ *and* $1 \le j \le n$.

Proof. Since the Fourier transform is continuous in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^1_{\xi^1})$, by Lemma 4 the functions $\widehat{u}(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\partial_{\xi^k}\widehat{u}(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\eta\widehat{u}(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\eta\partial_{\xi^k}\widehat{u}(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\eta\partial_{x^j}\widehat{u}(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\partial_{\xi^k}\partial_{x^j}\widehat{u}(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\eta^2\partial_{x^j}\widehat{u}(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\eta\partial_{\xi^k}\partial_{x^j}\widehat{u}(0,\eta,\xi')$, $2 \le k \le n$, $1 \le j \le n$, tend to zero in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^1_\eta)$ as $\xi' \to 0$. Then, since

$$\int_1^\infty \left|\partial_{\xi^k}\partial_{x^j}p\right| d\eta \le \left(\int_1^\infty \eta^2\left(\partial_{\xi^k}\partial_{x^j}p\right)^2 d\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\eta^2}d\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\int_0^1 \left|\partial_{\xi^k}\partial_{x^j}p\right| d\eta \le \left(\int_0^1 \left(\partial_{\xi^k}\partial_{x^j}p\right)^2\ d\eta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty \eta^2\left(\partial_{\xi^k}\partial_{x^j}p\right)^2 d\eta \to 0,\quad \int_0^1 \left(\partial_{\xi^k}\partial_{x^j}p\right)^2 d\eta \to 0,$$

we see that the functions $\partial_{\xi^k}\partial_{x^j}p(0,\eta,\xi')$ tend to zero in $L_1(0,\infty)$ as $\xi' \to 0$. By the same argument, it can be proved that the function $\partial_{\xi^k}\partial_{x^j}p(0,\eta,\xi')$ tends to zero in $L_1(-\infty,0)$ as $\xi' \to 0$.

Similarly one can prove that the functions $p(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\partial_{x^j}p(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\partial_{\xi^k}p(0,\eta,\xi')$, $\eta q(0,\eta,\xi')$ tend to zero in $L_1(\mathbb{R}^1_\eta)$ as $\xi' \to 0$.

6. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

In this section, we prove Theorem 1. As it was noted in Section 3, the uniqueness of the solution to Problem 1 follows from the uniqueness of the solution to Problem 2 in the class $C_0^5(D)$. Therefore, we consider Problem 2 with homogeneous boundary data below.

Proof of Theorem 1.

First we recall that $\widehat{u} = p + \sqrt{-1}q$ and $\Gamma^i_{jk}(0) = 0$. Then by (4.3) and (4.6), in the new coordinates, we have

$$\partial_\eta\partial_{x^1}q = -2\pi\delta(\eta)\sum_{k,j=2}^{n} a_{jk}\,(0)\,\xi^k\xi^j + \digamma_2, \tag{6.1}$$

for $x = 0$, where $\digamma_2 = \sum_{j=2}^{n}\xi^j\partial_{x^j}p$. By (6.1), we obtain

$$\partial_\eta\left(\partial_{x^1}q(0,\eta,\xi'_i)\right) = -2\pi\delta\,(\eta)\,a_{ii}(0)\varepsilon^2 + F_{2i}(0,\eta,\xi'_i), \tag{6.2}$$

for $\xi' = \xi'_i \in G'$, $2 \le i \le n$, where $\xi'_i = \varepsilon\xi'_i(1)$, $\xi'_i(1) = (\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{i-2},1,0,\cdots,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\xi' = \left(\xi^2,\xi^3,\cdots,\xi^n\right)$ and $F_{2i}(0,\eta,\xi'_i) = \varepsilon\partial_{x^i}p(0,\eta,\xi'_i)$.

On the other hand, we know (e.g., Theorem 3.1.3 on p. 56 in Hörmander [15])

Lemma 6. *Let a function $U(y)$ defined in an open set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}$, belong to space $C^1(Y/\{y_0\})$ for some $y_0 \in Y$ and let a function $V(y)$ coincide with $\frac{dU(y)}{dy}$ for $y \neq y_0$ and be integrable on some neighbourhood of y_0. Then the limits*

$$U(y_0 \pm 0) := \lim_{y\to y_0\pm} U(y)$$

exist and

$$\frac{dU\,(y)}{dy} = V(y) + (U(y_0+0) - U(y_0-0))\delta\,(y_0)\,.$$

Lemma 3 shows that (taking into account Remark 2) for fixed $(0,\xi') \in D \times G'$, the functions $U = \partial_{x^1} q$ and $V = F_2$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 6 for $y_0 = 0$, in where the variable y is replaced by η. Therefore, by (6.2) and Lemma 6, we conclude that

$$U_{+q}(0,\xi_i') - U_{-q}(0,\xi_i') = -2\pi a_{ii}(0)\varepsilon^2, \tag{6.3}$$

where $U_{\pm q}(0,\xi_i') = \partial_{x^1} q\left(0,\pm 0,\xi_i'\right)$.

On the other hand, from (6.2) by Lemmata 2 and 3, it is not difficult to obtain

$$U_{+q}(0,\xi_i') = -\int\limits_0^\infty F_{2i}(0,\eta,\xi_i')d\eta,\ U_{-q}(0,\xi_i') = \int\limits_{-\infty}^0 F_{2i}(0,\eta,\xi_i')d\eta.$$

Consequently, we have

$$U_{+q}(0,\xi_i') - U_{-q}(0,\xi_i') = -\varepsilon \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty \partial_{x^i} p(0,\eta,\xi_i')d\eta. \tag{6.4}$$

By Lemma 5,

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty \partial_{x^s} p(0,\eta,0)d\eta = 0,\ 1 \le s \le n,$$

and Lemmata 2, 3 and 5 yield

$$\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^i} p \in L_1\left(\mathbb{R}^1_\eta\right) \cap L_2\left(\mathbb{R}^1_\eta\right) \cap C\left(\Delta^\rho_\eta \times G'\right),\ \rho = -1, 1.$$

Hence, for $x = 0$, the mean value theorem on interval $[0,\varepsilon]$ (e.g., [13], p. 186) implies that

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty \partial_{x^i} p(0,\eta,\xi_i')d\eta = \varepsilon \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty \partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^i} p(0,\eta,\xi_i'\theta_1)d\eta, \tag{6.5}$$

where $\theta_1 \in (0,1)$ is a constant depending on ξ_i'. By using (6.5) in (6.4), we obtain

$$U_{+q}(0,\xi_i') - U_{-q}(0,\xi_i') = q_i(0,\xi_i')\varepsilon^2, \tag{6.6}$$

where

$$q_i(0,\xi'_i) = -\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{x^i} p(0,\eta,\xi'_i\theta_1)d\eta.$$

Equalities (6.3) and (6.6) show that $-2\pi a_{ii}(0) = q_i(0,\xi'_i)$, $(2 \le i \le n)$. Then by Lemma 5, we have $q_i(0,\xi'_i) \to 0$ as $\xi'_i \to 0$ and thus $a_{ii}(0) = 0$, $(2 \le i \le n)$.

In order to complete the proof, let $\xi'_{ij}(1) = (\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{i-2},1,\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{j-i-1},1,\underbrace{0,\cdots,0}_{n-j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $i \ne j$, and $\xi'_{ij} = \varepsilon\xi'_{ij}(1) \in G'$. In this case, by $a_{ii}(0) = 0$, $a_{ij}(0) = a_{ji}(0)$ and (6.1), we have

$$\partial_\eta\left(\partial_{x^1} q\left(0,\eta,\xi'_{ij}\right)\right) = -4\pi\delta(\eta)\, a_{ij}(0)\varepsilon^2 + F_{2ij}\left(0,\eta,\xi'_{ij}\right) \tag{6.7}$$

for $\xi' = \xi'_{ij}$, where

$$F_{2ij}(0,\eta,\xi'_{ij}) = \varepsilon(\partial_{x^i} p(0,\eta,\xi'_{ij}) + \partial_{x^j} p(0,\eta,\xi'_{ij}))$$

and the indices $i, j \in \{2,...,n\}$ are fixed. Then, in the same way as above, equation (6.7) and Lemma 6 yield

$$U_{+q}(0,\xi'_{ij}) - U_{-q}(0,\xi'_{ij}) = -4\pi a_{ij}(0)\varepsilon^2, \tag{6.8}$$

where $U_{\pm q}(0,\xi'_{ij}) = \partial_{x^1} q\left(0,\pm 0,\xi'_{ij}\right)$. From (6.7), by virtue of Lemmata 2, 3 we have

$$U_{+q}(0,\xi'_{ij}) = -\int\limits_{0}^{\infty} F_{2ij}\left(0,\eta,\xi'_{ij}\right)d\eta, \quad U_{-q}(0,\xi'_{ij}) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{0} F_{2ij}\left(0,\eta,\xi'_{ij}\right)d\eta.$$

Hence,

$$U_{+q}(0,\xi'_{ij}) - U_{-q}(0,\xi'_{ij}) = -\varepsilon\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\partial_{x^i} p(0,\eta,\xi'_{ij}) + \partial_{x^j} p(0,\eta,\xi'_{ij}))d\eta. \tag{6.9}$$

Recalling that (see Lemma 5)

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_{x^m} p(0, \eta, 0) d\eta = 0$$

$(1 \leq m \leq n)$, by the mean value theorem on interval $[0, \varepsilon]$ (e.g., [13], p. 186), we obtain

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_{x^s} p(0, \eta, \xi'_{ij}) d\eta = \varepsilon \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\partial_{\xi^i} \partial_{x^s} p(0, \eta, \xi'_{ij}\theta_1^s) + \partial_{\xi^j} \partial_{x^s} p(0, \eta, \xi'_{ij}\theta_1^s)) d\eta,$$

where $s = i, j$; and $0 < \theta_1^s \left(\xi'_{ij}\right) < 1$. Then, by the last equality and (6.9), we have

$$U_{+q}(0, \xi'_{ij}) - U_{-q}(0, \xi'_{ij}) = q_{ij}(0, \xi'_{ij}) \varepsilon^2, \tag{6.10}$$

where

$$q_{ij}(0, \xi'_{ij}) = -\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\partial_{\xi^i} \partial_{x^i} p(0, \eta, \xi'_{ij}\theta_1^s) + 2\partial_{\xi^j} \partial_{x^i} p(0, \eta, \xi'_{ij}\theta_1^s) + \partial_{\xi^j} \partial_{x^j} p(0, \eta, \xi'_{ij}\theta_1^s)\right) d\eta.$$

Equalities (6.8) and (6.10) imply $-4\pi a_{ij}(0) = q_{ij}\left(0, \xi'_{ij}\right)$. Then, by an argument similar to the proof of the relation $q_i(0, \xi'_i) \to 0$ as $\xi'_i \to 0$, we can prove that $q_{ij}(0, \xi'_{ij}) \to 0$ as $\xi'_i \to 0$, therefore, $a_{ij}(0) = 0$, $(2 \leq i,\ j \leq n)$.

In fact, keeping in mind the change of variables $x \mapsto y$ (see the beginning of Section 5), instead of $a_{ks}(0)$, it suffices to consider $\widetilde{a}_{ks}(0)$, and we have $a_{ks}(0) = \widetilde{a}_{ks}(0) = a_{ij}(\widetilde{x}_0)\, \partial_{y_k} x^i \partial_{y_s} x^j\big|_{y=0}$, $2 \leq k,\ s \leq n$.

Then from $\widetilde{a}_{ks}(0) = 0$ $(2 \leq k,\ s \leq n)$, it follows that $\widetilde{a}_{ks}(0) = A_{is}\ \partial_{y^k} x^i\big|_{y=0} = 0$ for each $2 \leq s \leq n$, where $A_{is} = a_{ij}(\widetilde{x}_0)\, \partial_{y_s} x^j\big|_{y=0}$. Since the Jacobian det $(\partial_{y_s} x^j) \neq 0$, we have $A_{is} = 0$ for $2 \leq i,\ s \leq n$ and so $a_{ij}(\widetilde{x}_0) = 0$ for $2 \leq i,\ j \leq n$. Since $\widetilde{x}_0 \in D$ is arbitrary, we obtain $a_{ij} = 0$ for $2 \leq i, j \leq n$ in the domain D. Hence the proof of theorem 1 is completed.

7. APPENDIX

In this section, we prove Lemmata 1-3. For the sake of simplicity, we set

$$I(x,\xi) := I_{ij}(x,\xi)$$

in (4.1) after fixing the indices $i,j \in \{2,...,n\}$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Similarly to (5.3), we recall that the last integral in (4.2) is considered on the finite interval $[0,d_0]$. Due to the condition $g_{ij} \in C^6(D)$, it follows from the theory of ordinary differential equations that the solution $z(x,\nu,t)$ of Problem (3.2)-(3.3) belongs to the space $C^5(\Omega(d_0))$, where we recall that $\Omega\left(d_0\right) = \left\{(x,\nu,t)\,|x \in D, \nu \in S^n(x), t \in [0,d_0]\right\}$ and $S^n(x)$ is the unit sphere with the metric g around $x \in D$. Hence, taking into account the conditions $b \in C^5\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $\xi \in G$, $\xi' \neq 0$ and equality (4.2), it follows that $\partial_\xi^\beta I$, $\partial_\xi^\beta \partial_{x^s} I \in C(\Omega)$ for $0 \leq |\beta| \leq 4$, $1 \leq s \leq n$.

In order to prove the second assertion of Lemma 1, we investigate the behavior of $|\xi|\,\dot{z}^k\left(x,\nu,\tau\right)$ and its derivatives with respect to ξ^j when $\xi^1 \longrightarrow \infty$, where $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$.

Let $\xi^1 = 1/\mu$. Then the vector $\nu = \xi/\left|\xi\right| \in S^n(x)$ tends to $\nu^0 = (1,0,...,0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $\xi^1 \longrightarrow +\infty$, (i.e. as $\mu \longrightarrow +0$). Therefore, as it is known from the theory of ordinary differential equations ([27]), the unique solution of Problem (3.2)-(3.3) tends to the solution $z\left(x,\nu^0,t\right)$ in $C^2[0,d_0]$ as $\mu \longrightarrow +0$. Since the metric $g = (g_{ij})$ is given in the semi-geodesic coordinates (then $\Gamma^1_{1s} = \Gamma^s_{11} = 0$, $1 \leq s \leq n$) and the solution of Problem (3.2)-(3.3) is unique, we have $z\left(x,\nu^0,t\right) = \left(z^1\left(x,\nu^0,t\right),...,z^n\left(x,\nu^0,t\right)\right)$, where $z^1\left(x,\nu^0,t\right) = x^1{+}t$, $z^k\left(x,\nu^0,t\right) = x^k$, $\dot{z}\left(x,\nu^0,t\right) = (1,0,\cdots,0)$, $\dot{z}^1\left(x,\nu^0,t\right) = 1$, $\dot{z}^k\left(x,\nu^0,t\right) = 0$, $2 \leq k \leq n$.

For $\xi^1 = 1/\mu > 0$, we have

$$|\xi|\,\dot{z}^k\left(x,\frac{\xi^1}{|\xi|},\cdots,\frac{\xi^n}{|\xi|},t\right) = \frac{1}{\mu}\left|\xi'\right|_\mu \dot{z}^k\left(x,\frac{1}{\left|\xi'\right|_\mu},\frac{\mu\xi^2}{\left|\xi'\right|_\mu},\cdots,\frac{\mu\xi^n}{\left|\xi'\right|_\mu},t\right), \tag{A.1}$$

where

$$\left|\xi'\right|_{\mu}=\left(1+\mu^{2}\sum_{2}^{n}g_{ij}\xi^{i}\xi^{j}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence, if $x\in D$, $(\xi^{2},...,\xi^{n})\in G'$, $t\in[0,d_0]$ are fixed, then applying the mean value theorem (e.g., [13], p.186) to the function $\dot{z}^{k}\left(x,\frac{1}{|\xi'|_{\theta}},\frac{\theta\xi^{2}}{|\xi'|_{\theta}},\cdots,\frac{\theta\xi^{n}}{|\xi'|_{\theta}},t\right)$ with respect to θ on the interval $[0,\mu]$, from the equality $\dot{z}^{k}\left(x,\nu^{0},t\right)=0$ for $2\leq k\leq n$, we have

$$\dot{z}^{k}\left(x,\frac{1}{\left|\xi'\right|_{\mu}},\frac{\mu\xi^{2}}{\left|\xi'\right|_{\mu}},\cdots,\frac{\mu\xi^{n}}{\left|\xi'\right|_{\mu}},t\right)=\mu\partial_{\mu_0}\dot{z}^{k},\ 0<\mu_0<\mu\leq 1,\ 2\leq k\leq n,\qquad\text{(A.2)}$$

where $\partial_{\mu_0}\dot{z}^{k}$ is the derivative of the function $\dot{z}^{k}\left(x,\frac{1}{|\xi'|_{\theta}},\frac{\theta\xi^{2}}{|\xi'|_{\theta}},\cdots,\frac{\theta\xi^{n}}{|\xi'|_{\theta}},t\right)$ with respect to θ at a point $\theta=\mu_0$. It is worth to note here that $\dot{z}^{1}\left(x,\nu^{0},t\right)=1$ and equality (A.2) is not valid for $k=1$.

Since $\dot{z}^{k}(x,\nu,t)\in C^{5}(\Omega(d_0))$ and $\left(\frac{1}{|\xi'|_{\mu}},\frac{\mu\xi^{2}}{|\xi'|_{\mu}},\cdots,\frac{\mu\xi^{n}}{|\xi'|_{\mu}}\right)\in S^{n}(x)$, the function $\partial_{\mu}\dot{z}^{k}\left(x,\frac{1}{|\xi'|_{\mu}},\frac{\mu\xi^{2}}{|\xi'|_{\mu}},\cdots,\frac{\mu\xi^{n}}{|\xi'|_{\mu}},t\right)$ is bounded on $\Omega\left(d_0\right)$. Here we note that $\Omega\left(d_0\right)$ is closed and bounded. Therefore, by (A.1) and (A.2), since the vector $\nu=\xi/\left|\xi\right|\in S^{n}(x)$ tends to $\nu^{0}=(1,0,...,0)\in\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as $\xi^{1}\longrightarrow+\infty$, we have

$$\left|\ |\xi|\ \dot{z}^{k}(x,\nu,t)\right|\leq K_1,\qquad\text{(A.3)}$$

for $2\leq k\leq n$ in the set Ω, where $K_1>0$ is independent of $(x,\xi)\in D\times G$, but depends on the norm of the vector function $\dot{z}(x,\nu,t)$ in $C^{1}\left(\Omega\left(d_0\right)\right)$ and the diameter of G'. In the same way as above, we can prove the last inequality for the case $\xi^{1}\longrightarrow-\infty$.

It is not difficult to verify the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\xi^{1}}\left(|\xi|\,\dot{z}^{k}\left(x,\frac{\xi}{|\xi|},t\right)\right)&=\frac{\xi^{1}}{|\xi|^{2}}\left(|\xi|\,\dot{z}^{k}\left(x,\frac{\xi}{|\xi|},t\right)\right)\\&\quad+|\xi|\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\partial_{\nu^{j}}\dot{z}^{k}\frac{\xi^{j}\xi^{1}}{|\xi|^{3}}+\frac{1}{|\xi|}\partial_{\nu^{1}}\dot{z}^{k}\right),\qquad\text{(A.4)}\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\xi^i}\left(|\xi|\,\dot{z}^k\left(x,\frac{\xi}{|\xi|},t\right)\right) &= \frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\sum_{j=2}^{n} g_{ij}\xi^j\left(|\xi|\,\dot{z}^k\left(x,\frac{\xi}{|\xi|},t\right)\right)\\
&+|\xi|\left(-\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(\partial_{\nu^s}\dot{z}^k\right)\frac{1}{|\xi|^3}\xi^s\sum_{j=2}^{n} g_{ij}\xi^j+\frac{1}{|\xi|}\partial_{\nu^i}\dot{z}^k\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.5}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^2_{\xi^1}\left(|\xi|\,\dot{z}^k\left(x,\frac{\xi}{|\xi|},t\right)\right) \quad = \quad & \frac{1}{|\xi|}\dot{z}^k-\frac{(\xi^1)^2}{|\xi|^3}\dot{z}^k-\sum_{j=2}^{n}\frac{\xi^j}{|\xi|^2}\partial_{\nu^j}\dot{z}^k-2\frac{\xi^1}{|\xi|^2}\partial_{\nu^1}\dot{z}^k\\
&+2\xi^1\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\xi^j\xi^1}{|\xi|^4}\partial_{\nu^j}\dot{z}^k+\frac{\xi^1}{|\xi|^2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{-\xi^j\xi^1}{|\xi|^2}\partial_{\nu^j}\dot{z}^k+\partial_{\nu^1}z^k\right)\\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\xi^j\xi^1}{|\xi|^3}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\xi^i\xi^1}{|\xi|^2}\partial_{\nu^i}\partial_{\nu^j}\dot{z}^k-\partial_{\nu^j}\partial_{\nu^1}\dot{z}^k\right)\\
&-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\xi^j\xi^1}{|\xi|^3}\partial_{\nu^1}\partial_{\nu^j}\dot{z}^k+\frac{1}{|\xi|}\partial^2_{\nu^1}\dot{z}^k,
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.6}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^1}\left(|\xi|\,\dot{z}^k\left(x,\frac{\xi}{|\xi|},t\right)\right) \quad = \quad & -\frac{\xi^1}{|\xi|^3}\Big(\sum_{j=2}^{n} g_{ij}\xi^j\Big)\dot{z}^k-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\dot{z}^k_{\nu^j}\frac{\xi^j\xi^1}{|\xi|^4}\right)\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} g_{ij}\xi^j\right)\\
&+\dot{z}^k_{\nu^i}\frac{\xi^1}{|\xi|^2}+2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\dot{z}^k_{\nu^j}\xi^j\xi^1\right)\left(\frac{1}{|\xi|^4}\sum_{j=2}^{n} g_{ij}\xi^j\right)\\
&-\dot{z}^k_{\nu^i}\frac{\xi^1}{|\xi|^2}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\xi^j\xi^1}{|\xi|^3}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{n}\dot{z}^k_{\nu^j\nu^m}\frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\xi^m\sum_{s=2}^{n} g_{is}\xi^s-\dot{z}^k_{\nu^i\nu^j}\right)\\
&-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\dot{z}^k_{\nu^j\nu^1}\frac{1}{|\xi|^3}\xi^j\sum_{j=2}^{n} g_{ij}\xi^j+\frac{1}{|\xi|}\dot{z}^k_{\nu^i\nu^1},
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.7}
$$

where $2 \leq i \leq n$, $\nu = \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}$. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_{\xi^i}\partial_{\xi^j}\left(|\xi|\,\dot{z}^k\left(x, \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, t\right)\right) = \frac{g_{ij}}{|\xi|}\dot{z}^k - \frac{1}{|\xi|^3}\left(\sum_{r=2}^{n} g_{jr}\xi^r\right)\left(\sum_{r=2}^{n} g_{ir}\xi^r\right)\dot{z}^k \\
&-\frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\left(\sum_{r=2}^{n} g_{jr}\xi^r\right)\left(\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(\partial_{\nu^s}\dot{z}^k\right)\frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\left(\xi^s\sum_{r=2}^{n} g_{ir}\xi^r\right) - \partial_{\nu^i}\dot{z}^k\right) \\
&-\left(\partial_{\nu^i}\dot{z}^k\right)\frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\left(\sum_{r=2}^{n} g_{jr}\xi^r\right) - \sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(\partial_{\nu^s}\dot{z}^k\right)\frac{\xi^s g_{ij}}{|\xi|^2} \\
&+\frac{2}{|\xi|^4}\left(\sum_{r=2}^{n} g_{jr}\xi^r\right)\left(\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(\partial_{\nu^s}\dot{z}^k\right)\xi^s\left(\sum_{r=2}^{n} g_{jr}\xi^r\right)\right) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{n}\frac{1}{|\xi|^3}\xi^s\left(\sum_{r=2}^{n} g_{ir}\xi^r\right)\left(\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\partial_{\nu^m}\partial_{\nu^s}\dot{z}^k\right)\frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\xi^m\sum_{r=2}^{n} g_{ir}\xi^r - \partial_{\nu^s}\partial_{\nu^i}\dot{z}^k\right) \\
&-\frac{1}{|\xi|^3}\left(\sum_{r=2}^{n} g_{ir}\xi^r\right)\sum_{m=1}^{n}\xi^m\partial_{\nu^m}\partial_{\nu^j}\dot{z}^k + \frac{1}{|\xi|}\partial_{\nu^j}\partial_{\nu^i}\dot{z}^k,
\end{aligned} \qquad \text{(A.8)}$$

where $2 \leq i,\ j \leq n$. Since $\dot{z}^k \in C^5\left(\Omega\left(d_0\right)\right)$ and the set G' is bounded and closed, by (A.3)-(A.8), it is easy to see that

$$\left||\xi|^{|\alpha|-1}\,\partial_\xi^\alpha\left(|\xi|\,\dot{z}^k\right)\right| \leq K_2,\ 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4, \qquad \text{(A.9)}$$

in Ω, where $K_2 > 0$ is independent of $(x, \xi) \in (D \times G)$. In (A.9), K_2 depends on the norm of the vector function $\dot{z}\,(x, \nu, t)$ in the space $C^5\left(\Omega\left(d_0\right)\right)$ and on the Euclidean distance between G' and $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ $(0 \notin G')$ and the Euclidean diameter of G'. Moreover, the following inequalities are valid in Ω:

$$\left||\xi|^{|\alpha|+1}\,\partial_\xi^\alpha\left(\frac{1}{|\xi|}\right)\right| \leq K_3,\ \left||\xi|^{|\alpha|}\,\partial_\xi^\alpha\left(b(z)\right)\right| \leq K_4, \qquad \text{(A.10)}$$

for $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4$, where K_3, K_4 depend on the same parameters as K_2 in (A.9).

Consequently, by the differentiation of an integral with respect to a parameter, the boundedness of the functions $|\xi|\,\dot{z}^k$, $\partial_{\xi^j}\left(|\xi|\,\dot{z}^k\right)$ and relations (A.9) - (A.10), we complete the proof of the second assertion of Lemma 1.

Proof of Lemma 2. By (ii-c) of Lemma 1, we have

$$\xi^1 \partial^r_{\xi^1}\left(\partial^{\beta'}_{\xi'} I\right),\ \xi^1 \partial^r_{\xi^1}\left(\partial^{\beta'}_{\xi'}\partial_{x^j} I\right) \in L_1\left(\mathbb{R}^1_{\xi^1}\right)\cap L_2\left(\mathbb{R}^1_{\xi^1}\right),\quad r+|\beta'|=4,\ 0\le r\le 4$$

for fixed $x\in D$ and $\xi'\in G'$ $\left(\xi'\neq 0\right)$. Then, in view of (i) of Lemma 1, (A.3)-(A.8) and the properties of the Fourier transform, we have

$$\eta^r \partial^{\beta'}_{\xi'}\partial_\eta \widehat{I}, \eta^r \partial^{\beta'}_{\xi'}\partial_\eta\partial_{x^j}\widehat{I} \in C\left(D\times\mathbb{R}^1_\eta\times G'\right)\cap L_2\left(\mathbb{R}^1_\eta\right), \tag{A.11}$$

where $r+\left|\beta'\right|=4,\ 0\le r\le 4$, that is, (iii) of Lemma 2 is proved.

Assertion (ii) of Lemma 2 is proved by using (i) and (ii-b) of Lemma 1.

By (i) and (ii-c) of Lemma 1, we have

$$\partial^r_{\xi^1}\left(\partial^{\beta'}_{\xi'} I\right),\ \partial^r_{\xi^1}\left(\partial^{\beta'}_{\xi'}\partial_{x^j} I\right) \in L_1\left(\mathbb{R}^1_{\xi^1}\right)\cap L_2\left(\mathbb{R}^1_{\xi^1}\right)$$

for $r+\left|\beta'\right|=4$ and $0\le r\le 4$, $x\in D$ and $\xi'\neq 0,\in G'$. The last relations and (i) of Lemma 1 show that

$$\eta^r \partial^{\beta'}_{\xi'}\widehat{I},\ \eta^r \partial^{\beta'}_{\xi'}\partial_{x^j}\widehat{I} \in C\left(D\times\mathbb{R}^1_\eta\times G'\right)\cap L_2\left(\mathbb{R}^1_\eta\right), \tag{A.12}$$

consequently,

$$\partial^{\beta'}_{\xi'}\widehat{I},\ \partial^{\beta'}_{\xi'}\partial_{x^j}\widehat{I} \in C\left(D\times\Delta^\rho_\eta\times G'\right), \tag{A.13}$$

for $\left|\beta'\right|\le 4$. The first assertion of Lemma 2 is ensured by (ii-a) of Lemma 1, (A.12) and (A.13).

Proof of Lemma 3. We examine equation (4.6) as a differential equation for the function $\partial_\eta q$. Then, in view of the characteristics, we can rewrite (4.6) as

$$\frac{d}{ds}x^1=1,\ \frac{d}{ds}\xi^k=-2\sum_{j=2}^{n}\Gamma^k_{1j}\xi^j,\ \frac{d}{ds}\left(\partial_\eta q\right)=F_2,\ k=2,3,...,n. \tag{A.14}$$

By Lemma 2 we have

$$\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} F_2,\ \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} \partial_{x_j} F_2 \in C\left(D \times \Delta_\eta^1 \times G'\right) \cap L_2\left(\Delta_\eta^1\right), \tag{A.15}$$

for $0 \leq \left|\beta'\right| \leq 2$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus it follows from equalities (4.7), (A.14) and (A.15) that

$$\partial_\eta q(x, \eta, \xi') = \int_{x_0^1}^{x^1} F_2\left(\tau, x', \eta, \zeta'(\tau, \xi')\right) d\tau, \tag{A.16}$$

where x_0^1 is the first component of the boundary point $(x_0^1, x') \in \partial D$ and the first component of $(x^1, x') \in D$ satisfies $x^1 > x_0^1$. In (A.16), the components of the vector $\zeta'(\tau, \xi') = (\zeta^2(\tau, \xi'), ..., \zeta^n(\tau, \xi'))$ satisfy the system of differential equations

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\zeta^k = -2\sum_{j=2}^n \Gamma_{1j}^k \zeta^j, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n$$

with the initial condition $\zeta'(x^1) = \xi'$. Moreover, the uniqueness of the solution of this Cauchy problem with the condition $\zeta'(x^1) = \xi' \neq 0$ implies that $\zeta'(\tau, \xi') \neq 0$, $\tau \in \left[x_0^1,\ x_0^1 + d_0\right]$, $x_0^1 \leq x^1 \leq x_0^1 + d_0$.

Since the function $F_2\left(x, \eta, \xi'\right)$ is zero outside D and the straight lines in $\mathbb{R}_x^n$ which are paralel to the coordinate axis ox^1 are geodesics of the metric (g_{ij}), the integral in (A.16) is taken on the finite interval $(x_0^1, x_0^1 + d_0)$, where d_0 is the diameter of the bounded domain D. On the other hand, by (4.2), (A.9), (A.10) and Lemma 1, we see that the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u^2(x, \xi) d\xi^1$ converges uniformly with respect to the parameters $(x, \xi') \in D \times G'$ and is continuous on $D \times G'$. Then by the Plancherel equality

$$2\pi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u^2(x, \xi) d\xi^1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left|\widehat{u}(x, \eta, \xi')\right|^2 d\eta,$$

the integrals

$$\int_0^{+\infty} q^2(x, \eta, \xi') d\eta, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} p^2(x, \eta, \xi') d\eta$$

are continuous on $D \times G'$.

In addition, by (4.2), (A.9) and (A.10), the integrals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}u(x,\xi))^2d\xi^1,\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\partial_{x^j}u(x,\xi))^2d\xi^1$$

converge uniformly with respect to the parameters $(x,\xi')\in D\times G'$ and are continuous on $D\times G'$ for $|\beta|\le 3$, $1\le j\le n$. Then, by similar arguments as above one can prove that the integrals

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty}(\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}q(x,\eta,\xi'))^2d\eta,\ \int_{0}^{+\infty}(\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}p(x,\eta,\xi'))^2d\eta,$$

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty}(\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j}q(x,\eta,\xi'))^2d\eta,\ \int_{0}^{+\infty}(\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j}p(x,\eta,\xi'))^2d\eta$$

are continuous on $D\times G'$ for $\left|\beta'\right|\le 3$. Therefore the integrals

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty}(\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\mathsf{F}_2(x,\eta,\xi'))^2d\eta,\ \int_{0}^{+\infty}(\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j}\mathsf{F}_2(x,\eta,\xi'))^2d\eta$$

are continuous on $D\times G'$ for $\left|\beta'\right|\le 2$.

On the other hand, by (A.16), we have

$$q_{\eta}^2\le(x^1-x_0^1)\int_{x_0^1}^{x^1}\mathsf{F}_2^2\left(\tau,x',\eta,\zeta'(\tau,\xi')\right)d\tau. \tag{A.17}$$

Since the function

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty}\mathsf{F}_2^2\left(x,\eta,\xi'\right)d\eta$$

is continuous with respect to the parameters $(x,\xi')\in D\times G'$ and D, G' are closed and bounded, we can conclude that there exists an integral

$$\int_{x_0^1}^{x^1}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\mathsf{F}_2^2\left(\tau,x',\eta,\zeta'(\tau,\xi')\right)d\eta\right)d\tau$$

which is bounded by a number $M>0$ which is independent of (x,ξ'). Then, from

(A.17) by the Fubini-Tonelli theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^N \left(\partial_\eta q(x,\eta,\xi')\right)^2 d\eta &\leq d_0 \int_0^N \left(\int_{x_0^1}^{x^1} F_2^2\left(\tau,x',\eta,\zeta'(\tau,\xi')\right) d\tau\right) d\eta \\ &= d_0 \int_{x_0^1}^{x^1} \left(\int_0^N F_2^2\left(\tau,x',\eta,\zeta'(\tau,\xi')\right) d\eta\right) d\tau \\ &\leq d_0 M\end{aligned}$$

for each $N>0$. By analogous reasoning, from (A.16), one can prove that

$$\int_0^N (\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_\eta q(x,\eta,\xi'))^2 d\eta,\ \int_0^N (\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j}\partial_\eta q(x,\eta,\xi'))^2 d\eta \leq d_0 M_1 \tag{A.18}$$

for $\left|\beta'\right| \leq 2$, where $M_1>0$ is the maximum of the continuous functions

$$\int_{x_0^1}^{x^1} (\int_0^{+\infty} (\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} F_2(\tau,x',\eta,\zeta'(\tau,\xi')))^2 d\eta) d\tau$$

and

$$\int_{x_0^1}^{x^1} (\int_0^{+\infty} (\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j} F_2(\tau,x',\eta,\zeta'(\tau,\xi')))^2 d\eta) d\tau$$

on $D\times G'$. Inequalities (A.18) imply that $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_\eta q$, $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j}\partial_\eta q \in L_2\left(\Delta_\eta^1\right)$ for $\left|\beta'\right| \leq 2$. Moreover, taking into account Remark 2 after Lemma 2, from (A.11) we obtain

$$\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_\eta q(x,\eta,\xi'),\ \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j}\partial_\eta q(x,\eta,\xi') \in L_1\left(\Delta_\eta^1\right) \cap C\left(D\times\Delta_\eta^1\times G'\right).$$

In a similar manner, we can prove that

$$\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_\eta q(x,\eta,\xi'),\ \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j}\partial_\eta q(x,\eta,\xi') \in L_1\left(\Delta_\eta^{-1}\right) \cap C\left(D\times\Delta_\eta^{-1}\times G'\right).$$

Taking into account (4.5) and using arguments similar to the previous, it can be shown that

$$\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_\eta p(x,\eta,\xi'),\ \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j}\partial_\eta p(x,\eta,\xi') \in L_1\left(\Delta_\eta^\rho\right) \cap L_2\left(\Delta_\eta^\rho\right) \cap C\left(D\times\Delta_\eta^\rho\times G'\right)$$

for $\left|\beta'\right| \le 2$, $\rho = -1, 1$.

Finally, from the foregoing relations we can write

$$\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_\eta \widehat{u},\ \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j}\partial_\eta \widehat{u} \in L_1\left(\Delta_\eta^\rho\right) \cap L_2\left(\Delta_\eta^\rho\right) \cap C\left(D \times \Delta_\eta^\rho \times G'\right)$$

for $\left|\beta'\right| \le 2$, $1 \le j \le n$. Then the equality

$$\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} q(x, \eta, \xi') = -\int_\eta^\infty \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_\tau q(x, \tau, \xi') d\tau \tag{A.19}$$

holds, from which we have

$$\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} q(x, +0, \xi') = -\int_0^\infty \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_\tau q(x, \tau, \xi') d\tau \tag{A.20}$$

for the point $(x, \xi') \in D \times G'$. By virtue of (A.18)-(A.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} q(x, \eta, \xi') - \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} q(x, +0, \xi')\right|^2 &= \left|\int_0^\eta \partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_\tau q(x, \tau, \xi') d\tau\right|^2 \\ &\le \eta \int_0^\eta (\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_\tau q(x, \tau, \xi'))^2 d\tau \\ &\le \eta d_0 M_1.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the function $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} q(x, \eta, \xi')$ tends to $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} q(x, +0, \xi')$ uniformly with respect to the parameters $(x, \xi') \in D \times G'$ as $\eta \to +0$. Consequently, $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} q(x, +0, \xi') \in C\left(D \times G'\right)$, since the function $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} q(x, \eta, \xi') \in C\left(D \times G'\right)$ for $\eta > 0$. Analogously, one can prove that the functions $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j} q(x, +0, \xi')$, $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} p(x, +0, \xi')$, $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j} p(x, +0, \xi')$, $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} q(x, -0, \xi')$, $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j} q(x, -0, \xi')$, $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'} p(x, -0, \xi')$, $\partial_{\xi'}^{\beta'}\partial_{x^j} p(x, -0, \xi')$ belong to the space $C\left(D \times G'\right)$ for $\left|\beta'\right| \le 2$, $1 \le j \le n$.

Acknowledgements. Most part of the paper has been written during the stay of the second-named author at Department of Mathematical Sciences of The University of Tokyo and the stay was supported by the program ”Leading Graduate Course for Frontiers of Mathematical Sciences and Physics”. The third-named author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) 15H05740 of Japan

Society for the Promotion of Science.

REFERENCES

[1] Amirov A Kh 2001 *Integral Geometry and Inverse Problems for Kinetic Equations* VSP Utrecht.

[2] Amirov A Kh 2001 To the problem of reconstructing a Riemannian metric *Doklady Mathematics* 64 (2) 156-159.

[3] Amirov A Kh 2005 Boundary rigidity for Riemannian manifolds *Preprint University of Tokyo UTMS 2005-12.*

[4] Anikonov Yu E 1995 *Multidimensional Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems for Differential Equations* VSP Utrecht.

[5] Anikonov Yu E and Romanov V G 1997 On uniqueness of determination of a form of first degree by its integrals along geodesics *J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems* 5 (6) 487–490.

[6] Bernstein I N and Gerver M L 1978 A problem of integral geometry for a family of geodesics and an inverse kinematic seismics problem *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 243 (2) 302–305.

[7] Beylkin G 1983 Stability and uniqueness of the solution of the inverse kinematic problem in the multidimensional case *J. Soviet Math.* 21 251–254.

[8] Dairbekov N S 2006 Integral geometry problem for nontrapping manifolds *Inverse Problems* 22 431–445.

[9] do Carmo M P 1992 *Riemannian Geometry* Birkhäuser Boston.

[10] Chavel I 2006 *Riemannian Geometry: A Modern Introduction* Cambridge University Press Cambridge.

[11] Dubrovin B A, Fomenko A T and Novikov S P 1992 *Modern Geometry-Methods and Applications Part 1* Springer-Verlag New York.

[12] Eisenhart L P 1949 *Riemannian Geometry* Princeton University Press Princeton.

[13] Fikhtengolts G M 1965 *The Fundamentals of Mathematical Analysis* Pergamon Press New York.

[14] Gelfand I M, Gindikin S G and Graev M I 2003 *Selected Topics in Integral Geometry* Transl. of Math. Monographs 220 Providence RI: Amer. Math. Soc.

[15] Hörmander L 1983 *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I* Springer-Verlag Berlin.

[16] Klibanov M V 1976 A problem of integral geometry and the inverse problem for a parabolic equation *Siberian Math. J.* 17 (1) 59-66.

[17] Klibanov M V 1976 An inverse problem for a parabolic equation and a problem of integral geometry *Siberian Math. Journal* 17 (3) 435-440.

[18] Lavrentiev M M, Romanov V G and Shishat·skiĭ S P 1980 *The Ill-posed Problems of Mathematical Physics and Analysis* Amer. Math. Soc. Providence RI.

[19] Muhometov R G 1977 The problem of recovery of a two-dimensional Riemannian metric and integral geometry *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 18 (1) 27-31.

[20] Muhometov R G and Romanov V G 1978 On the problem of determining an isotropic Riemannian metric in n-dimensional space *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 19 (6) 1330-1333.

[21] Natterer F 1986 *The Mathematics of Computerized Tomography* John Wiley and Sons New York.

[22] Paternain G P, Salo M and Uhlmann G 2013 Tensor tomography on surfaces *Invent. Math.* 193 (1) 229-247.

[23] Paternain G P, Salo M and Uhlmann G 2014 Tensor tomography: progress and challenges. *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B* 35 (3) 399–428..

[24] Pestov L 2003 Well-Posedness Questions of the Ray Tomography Problems (in Russian) Siberian Science Press Novosibirsk.

[25] Pestov L and Uhlmann G 2005 Two dimensional compact simple Riemannian manifolds are boundary distance rigid *Ann. Math.* 161 (2) 1093-1110.

[26] Petrov A Z 1969 *Einstein Spaces* Pergamon Press Oxford.

[27] Petrovski I G 1966 *Ordinary Differential Equations* Prentice-Hall Inc. Englewood Cliffs New Jersey.

[28] Raschewski P K 1959 *Riemannsche Geometrie und Tensoranalysis* VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften Berlin.

[29] Romanov V G 1987 *Inverse Problems of Mathematical Physics* VNU Science Press Utrecht.

[30] Sharafutdinov V A 1994 *Integral Geometry of Tensor Fields* VSP Utrecht.

[31] Sharafutdinov V A 2002 A problem in integral geometry in a nonconvex domain *Siberian Math. J.* 43 (6) 1159–1168.

[32] Sharafutdinov V 2007 Variations of Dirichlet-to-Neumann map and deformation boundary rigidity of simple 2-manifolds *J. Geom. Anal.* 17 (1) 147-187.

[33] Sharafutdinov V and Uhlmann G 2000 On deformation boundary rigidity and spectral rigidity of Riemannian surfaces with no focal points *J. Differential Geom.* 56 (1) 93-110.

[34] Stefanov P and Uhlmann G 2004 Stability estimates for the X-ray transform of tensor fields and boundary rigidity *Duke Math. J.* 123 (3) 445-467.

[35] Stefanov P and Uhlmann G 2005 Boundary rigidity and stability for generic simple metrics *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (4) 975-1003.

[36] Weatherburn C E 1938 *An Introduction to Riemannian Geometry and the Tensor Calculus* Cambridge University Press Cambridge.